arXiv:1212.6462v1 [math.GR] 28 Dec 2012

FOURIER INVERSION FOR FINITE INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

MARTIN E. MALANDRO

Abstract. This paper marks the first general treatment of Fourier inversion for inverse semigroups. We introduce and prove four Fourier inversion theorems for arbitrary finite inverse semigroups. We also provide a general approach to the construction of fast inverse Fourier transforms for finite inverse semigroups, and we show how results of Maslen, Rockmore, and Björklund et al. combine to give efficient algorithms for the computation of inverse Fourier transforms on the symmetric inverse monoid and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups.

1. Introduction

The theory of Fourier analysis on finite groups unifies the classical discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and Yates' analysis of factorial designs [28]. The classical DFT is the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ (the cyclic group of order n), while the analysis of Yates is the Fourier transform on ${\mathbb{Z}_2}^k$. Algorithms for the efficient computation of forward and inverse Fourier transforms have been developed for a wide variety of abelian and nonabelian groups—see, e.g., [1, 4, 6, 8, 16, 17, 22]. For applications, see, e.g., [5, 9, 10, 22, 23].

Inverse semigroups are generalizations of groups which encode partial symmetries [12]. Every group is an inverse semigroup, but not conversely. In [13, 14] we extended the theory of Fourier analysis on finite groups to finite inverse semigroups and developed explicit fast Fourier transforms for specific inverse semigroups of interest—namely, for the symmetric inverse monoid (also called the *rook monoid*) R_n and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups. In [15] we developed an application of Fourier analysis on the rook monoid to the analysis of partially ranked datasets. While we proved a handful of Fourier inversion theorems for R_n in [13], there has not yet been a treatment of Fourier inversion for general inverse semigroups, nor has there been any treatment of fast Fourier inversion for inverse semigroups.

In this paper we address these issues. First, we develop a framework for the construction of fast Fourier inversion algorithms for finite inverse semigroups using B. Steinberg's groupoid basis of an inverse semigroup algebra [27]. Next, we show how this framework together with Maslen's algorithm for fast Fourier inversion for the symmetric group [16], Rockmore's algorithm for fast Fourier inversion for symmetric group wreath products [22], and the algorithm of Björklund et al. for the efficient computation of the Möbius transform on lattices with few irreducibles [3] combine to yield fast Fourier inversion algorithms for the rook monoid and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups. Finally, we give a series of Fourier inversion formulas for arbitrary finite inverse semigroups.

Let S be a finite inverse semigroup. There is a natural correspondence between complex-valued functions on S and elements of the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}S$ that arises from the association of the delta functions of the elements of S and the natural basis $\{s\}_{s\in S}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$—specifically, if $f : S \to \mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

$$f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)\delta_s,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M18, 20C40, 43A30, 68W40.

Key words and phrases. Fast Fourier transform, inverse semigroup, rook monoid, wreath product, Möbius transform.

then f corresponds to the element

$$\sum_{s\in S} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}S.$$

In this manner we will think of complex-valued functions on S as elements of $\mathbb{C}S$, and vice-versa.

If $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ is expressed in terms of the natural basis, then the *Fourier transform of f* is the re-expression of f in terms of a *Fourier basis* of $\mathbb{C}S$. Unlike the natural basis, Fourier bases of $\mathbb{C}S$ are defined by symmetry conditions on S (Definition 2.14). For $S = \mathbb{Z}_n$, the Fourier transform of $f \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the usual discrete Fourier transform of f, and the Fourier basis for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the usual basis of exponential functions [13, 14, 15].

If $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ is expressed with respect to a particular Fourier basis, then the *inverse Fourier transform of f* is the re-expression of f in terms of the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}S$. Among other applications, algorithms for computing fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) and fast inverse Fourier transforms (FIFTs) give rise to efficient algorithms for computing the convolution of functions $f, g \in \mathbb{C}S$. Naive methods for computing Fourier transforms, inverse transforms, and convolutions each require $|S|^2$ operations, where an *operation* is defined to be a complex multiplication and a complex addition. Faster methods have been developed for a wide variety of groups and inverse semigroups. For example, it is known that the Fourier transform of $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ can be computed in no more than

- $O(|S| \log |S|)$ operations if $S = \mathbb{Z}_n$ [4],
- $O(|S| \log |S|)$ operations if S is a supersolvable group [1],
- $O(|S| \log^2 |S|)$ operations if $S = S_n$, the symmetric group on n objects [16],
- $O(|S| \log^4 |S|)$ operations if $S = B_n$, the hyperoctahedral group on n objects [22], and
- $O(|S| \log^2 |S|)$ operations if $S = R_n$, the rook monoid on n objects [3, 14].

It is also the case that if $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ is expressed with respect to particular computationally advantageous Fourier bases, then efficient algorithms for computing the inverse Fourier transform of f exist, which require no more than

- $O(|S| \log |S|)$ operations if $S = \mathbb{Z}_n$ [4],
- $O(|S| \log |S|)$ operations if S is a supersolvable group [1, 2],
- $O(|S| \log^2 |S|)$ operations if $S = S_n$ [16], and
- $O(|S| \log^4 |S|)$ operations if $S = B_n$ [22].

Generally speaking, the construction of $O(|S| \log^c |S|)$-complexity algorithms (where c is some constant) for computing Fourier transforms and their inverses is the goal in group and inverse semigroup FFT theory.

Also, we make the distinction in complexity between computing Fourier transforms and their inverses for the semigroups listed above because, while the classical FFT (for computing Fourier transforms on abelian groups) automatically gives rise to an equally efficient algorithm for computing inverse Fourier transforms, FFTs for finite inverse semigroups do not automatically give rise to FIFTs in general. For nonabelian groups, FFTs can often be thought of as matrix factorizations, and a nearly equally-efficient algorithm for computing the inverse Fourier transform arises by considering what is essentially the "transpose" of the FFT algorithm [2]. The key that enables this fast transpose algorithm is the Schur relations for group representations, which are not directly applicable to non-group inverse semigroups. Another approach to the construction of FIFTs for groups is based on adapted and induced representations [21], and while an approach to FFTs for inverse semigroups based on adapted representations is possible [13], this approach has not yielded the fastest FFTs for non-group inverse semigroups known to date [3, 14]. The present work is therefore necessary.

The main results of this paper are the following. First, we give a fast inverse Fourier transform for the rook monoid R_n, which results in the following complexity bound.

Theorem (Theorem 4.2)**.** Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}R_n$ induced (as in Definition 2.26) by Young's seminormal or orthogonal representations of the symmetric group. Then the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on R_n expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$ can be computed in no more than $O(|R_n|\log^2|R_n|)$ operations.

We note that the set of representations $\mathcal{Y}$ in the statement of Theorem 4.2 is the same set of representations used for the $O(|R_n|\log^2|R_n|)$-complexity Fourier transform on R_n referenced above. We also have the following result for the wreath product of R_n by an arbitrary finite group.

Theorem (Theorem 4.4)**.** Let G be a finite group and let $G \wr R_n$ denote the wreath product of R_n with G (Definition 4.3). There exists a complete set $\mathcal{Y}$ of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ such that the Fourier transform relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ of an arbitrary element $f = \sum_{s \in G\wr R_n} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ can be computed in $O(|G \wr R_n|\log^4|G \wr R_n|)$ operations and, if $f \in \mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ is an arbitrary element expressed relative to $\mathcal{Y}$, then the inverse Fourier transform of f can be computed in $O(|G \wr R_n|\log^4|G \wr R_n|)$ operations.

To state our remaining results we require the following notation. Let S be a finite inverse semigroup. Let $D_0, \ldots, D_n$ be the $\mathcal{D}$-classes of S. For each $\mathcal{D}$-class D_k, fix an idempotent e_k and let G_k be the maximal subgroup of S at e_k. Let $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$ be a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G_k$, and let r_k denote the number of idempotents in D_k. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ induced (as in Definition 2.26) by $\biguplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$. Let $\leq$ denote the natural partial order on S, and let μ denote its Möbius function.

Our constructions of the FIFTs listed above for R_n and its wreath product by finite groups are based on a general approach to the construction of FIFTs for inverse semigroups, which we detail in Section 3. Our approach results in the following complexity bound for the inverse Fourier transform on $\mathbb{C}S$ for an arbitrary finite inverse semigroup S. This result can be seen as the natural complement to the main result in [14].

Theorem (Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2)**.** The number of operations required to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function f on S expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$ is no more than

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_k^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{IRR}(G_k), G_k),$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mu_S)$ is the maximum number of operations required to compute the Möbius transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on S and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{IRR}(G_k), G_k)$ is the maximum number of operations required to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on G_k expressed with respect to $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$.

Furthermore, if we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G_k)$ the quantity

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G_k) = \min\{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{R}_k, G_k)\}$$

(as $\mathcal{R}_k$ varies over all complete sets of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G_k$), then there exists a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ such that the number of operations required to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on S expressed with respect to $\mathcal{R}$ is no more than

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_k^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G_k).$$

Finally, we have the following Fourier inversion theorems for finite inverse semigroups. The first inversion theorem (Theorem 5.2) expresses Fourier inversion relative to the *groupoid basis* $\{\lfloor s \rfloor\}_{s \in S}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ (defined in Section 2.3) for elements of $\mathbb{C}S$ expressed with respect to an induced set of representations of $\mathbb{C}S$, whereas the second inversion theorem (Theorem 5.5) expresses Fourier inversion relative to the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}S$ for elements of $\mathbb{C}S$ expressed with respect to an arbitrary set of representations of $\mathbb{C}S$. Note that the notation $\bar{\rho}$, $p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)}$, and $p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}$ is defined in Section 2.3. We also prove two further Fourier inversion theorems in Theorems 5.3 and 5.4.

Theorem (Theorem 5.2)**.** Let $g = \sum_{s \in S} g(s)\lfloor s \rfloor \in \mathbb{C}S$. For $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{Y}$, view $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})$ as an $r_k \times r_k$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the idempotents in D_k and whose entries are themselves $d_\rho \times d_\rho$ matrices. For idempotents $a, b \in D_k$, denote the a, b entry of $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})$ (itself a $d_\rho \times d_\rho$ matrix) by $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})_{a,b}$. Let $s \in D_k$. Then

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)} \rho\left(\left({p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)} \right)^{-1} \right) \right).$$

Theorem (Theorem 5.5)**.** Let $f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}S$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$. For $t \in D_j$, let $G(t)$ denote G_j (the maximal subgroup at e_j), and let $r(t)$ denote r_j (the number of idempotents in D_j). For any $s \in S$, we have

$$f(s) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{X}} d_\rho \sum_{t \in S : t \geq s} \frac{\mu(s,t)}{r(t)|G(t)|} \sum_{v \in S : v^{-1} \leq t^{-1}} \mu(v^{-1}, t^{-1}) \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(v^{-1}) \right).$$

We proceed as follows. In Section 2 we explain the background material needed for the rest of the paper. This includes a review of basic inverse semigroup theory in Section 2.1, the definition of the Fourier transform for finite inverse semigroups in Section 2.2, and B. Steinberg's isomorphism between the inverse semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}S$ and a direct sum of matrix algebras over group algebras [27] in Section 2.3. In Section 3 we introduce a general method for the construction of fast inverse Fourier transforms on finite inverse semigroups and we establish a general bound on the inverse Fourier transform on a finite inverse semigroups relative to an induced set of representations. In Section 4 we exhibit our fast inverse Fourier transforms for the rook monoid and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups. Finally, in Section 5 we state and prove our four Fourier inversion formulas for finite inverse semigroups.

2. Background material

2.1. **Inverse semigroups.** A semigroup is a nonempty set with an associative binary operation. A monoid is a semigroup with identity. Unless otherwise specified, we will write our semigroup operations multiplicatively.

Definition 2.1. A semigroup S is an *inverse semigroup* if for each $x \in S$ there exists a unique $y \in S$ such that $xyx = x$ and $yxy = y$. In this case we say that y is the *inverse* of x, and we write $x^{-1} = y$.

It follows that in an inverse semigroup, xx^{-1} and $x^{-1}x$ are idempotent, and if e is idempotent then $e = e^{-1}$. It is clear that every group is an inverse semigroup, and it is straightforward to show that an inverse semigroup is a group if and only if it has exactly one idempotent (the identity of the group).

The most important finite inverse semigroup is the symmetric inverse monoid (also known as the rook monoid) R_n, which is the set of all injective partial functions from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ to $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ (including the function with empty domain and range) under the usual operation of partial function composition. In this paper we view maps as acting on the left of sets and we compose maps from right to left, so if $\sigma, \gamma \in R_n$, then $\sigma \circ \gamma \in R_n$ is the partial function whose domain is given by

$$\mathrm{dom}(\sigma \circ \gamma) = \{x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} : x \in \mathrm{dom}(\gamma) \text{ and } \gamma(x) \in \mathrm{dom}(\sigma)\},$$

and if $x \in \mathrm{dom}(\sigma \circ \gamma)$, then $(\sigma \circ \gamma)(x) = \sigma(\gamma(x))$.

Definition 2.2. If S and T are semigroups, then a *homomorphism* $\phi : S \to T$ is a map such that $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in S$. An *isomorphism* is a bijective homomorphism.

R_n is called the rook monoid because it is isomorphic to the semigroup of *rook matrices of dimension n* under ordinary matrix multiplication. A rook matrix of dimension n is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ that has at most one 1 in each row and column. These matrices are called rook matrices because the rook matrices of dimension n correspond to the placements of non-attacking rooks on an $n \times n$ chessboard. The isomorphism is given by associating to the partial function $\sigma \in R_n$ the rook matrix that has a 1 in the i, j position whenever $\sigma(j) = i$, and a 0 in all other positions [25].

Definition 2.3. The *rank* of an element $\sigma \in R_n$ is $|\mathrm{dom}(\sigma)| = |\mathrm{ran}(\sigma)|$. Equivalently, the rank of σ is the ordinary matrix rank of its associated rook matrix.

The rook monoid plays the same role for inverse semigroups that the symmetric group does for groups, in the following variation of Cayley's theorem [12].

Theorem 2.4. If S is a finite inverse semigroup, then S is isomorphic to an inverse sub-semigroup of $R_{|S|}$.

We also record the size of R_n. It is easy to see that R_n contains $\binom{n}{k}^2 k!$ elements of rank k, so we have:

Theorem 2.5. $|R_n| = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 k!$.

2.2. **Fourier transforms: Definitions and basic theory.** Let S be a finite inverse semigroup.

Definition 2.6. The *semigroup algebra* $\mathbb{C}S$ is, as a vector space, the formal $\mathbb{C}$-span of $\{s\}_{s \in S}$. The natural basis $\{s\}_{s \in S}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ is also called the *semigroup basis*. Multiplication in $\mathbb{C}S$ (called *convolution* and denoted by $*$) is given by the linear extension of the multiplication in S by the distributive law. Specifically, given $f, g \in \mathbb{C}S$, where

$$f = \sum_{r \in S} f(r) r, \quad g = \sum_{t \in S} g(t) t,$$

we have

$$f * g = \sum_{r \in S} f(r) r \sum_{t \in S} g(t) t = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{r,t \in S : rt = s} f(r) g(t) s.$$

If S is a group, then convolution can be written in the more familiar way:

$$f * g = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{r \in S} f(r) g(r^{-1} s) s.$$

That is, if S is a group, then the coefficient of s in the convolution $f * g$ is $\sum_{r \in S} f(r) g(r^{-1} s)$.

Definition 2.7. A *matrix representation* (or just *representation*) ρ of $\mathbb{C}S$ is an algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}S \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The number n is the *dimension* of ρ, which we will denote by d_ρ.

Equivalently, a representation of $\mathbb{C}S$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space which is also a left $\mathbb{C}S$-module.

Definition 2.8. A representation ρ of $\mathbb{C}S$ is *null* if $\rho(a)$ is the zero matrix for all $a \in \mathbb{C}S$.

Definition 2.9. A representation ρ of $\mathbb{C}S$ is *irreducible* if ρ is not null and ρ is simple as a left $\mathbb{C}S$-module. That is, a matrix representation ρ is irreducible if $\rho \neq 0$ and there is no invertible matrix X and tuple (ρ_1, ρ_2, g), where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ and g is a matrix-valued function on $\mathbb{C}S$, such that

$$X \rho(a) X^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1(a) & 0 \\ g(a) & \rho_2(a) \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{C}S$.

Definition 2.10. Representations ρ_1, ρ_2 of $\mathbb{C}S$ are *equivalent* if there is an invertible matrix X such that $X \rho_1(a) X^{-1} = p_2(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}S$. Equivalently, two representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ are equivalent if they are isomorphic as left $\mathbb{C}S$-modules.

Munn showed that $\mathbb{C}S$ is semisimple [20]. Thus, any representation of $\mathbb{C}S$ is equivalent to a direct sum of null and irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$, and Wedderburn's theorem applies to $\mathbb{C}S$.

Theorem 2.11 (Wedderburn's theorem)**.** Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ is finite, and $\mathcal{Y}$ induces an algebra isomorphism (called the *Wedderburn isomorphism induced by* $\mathcal{Y}$, or just the *Wedderburn isomorphism* if $\mathcal{Y}$ is understood)

$$\bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} \rho : \mathbb{C}S \to \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} M_{d_\rho}(\mathbb{C}). \tag{1}$$

Explicitly, if $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ with $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$, then

$$f \mapsto \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} \left(\sum_{s\in S} f(s)\rho(s) \right)$$

in the Wedderburn isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{Y}$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be any complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$. A dimensionality count of the algebras in (1) immediately yields

$$|S| = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} {d_\rho}^2.$$

We can now define the Fourier transform of $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ [13, 14].

Definition 2.12. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ with $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$. If ρ is a representation of $\mathbb{C}S$, then the *Fourier transform of* f *at* ρ, denoted $\hat{f}(\rho)$, is

$$\hat{f}(\rho) = \rho(f) = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)\rho(s).$$

Definition 2.13. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$, then the Wedderburn isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{Y}$ is called the *Fourier transform on* $\mathbb{C}S$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Y}$ (or just the *Fourier transform on* $\mathbb{C}S$, if $\mathcal{Y}$ is understood). In particular, if $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ with $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$, then the *Fourier transform of* $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Y}$ is

$$\bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} \left(\sum_{s\in S} f(s)\rho(s) \right),$$

the image of f in the Wedderburn isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{Y}$.

The Fourier transform of f relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ can also be described in terms of a change of basis within $\mathbb{C}S$. The natural basis of the algebra on the right in (1) is the set of matrices in this algebra with a 1 in one position and 0 in all other positions, and the inverse image of this basis in $\mathbb{C}S$ is the target basis in $\mathbb{C}S$ for the Fourier transform relative to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Definition 2.14. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$, then the inverse image of the natural basis of the algebra on the right in (1) is called the *Fourier basis of* $\mathbb{C}S$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Y}$. It is also called the *dual matrix coefficient basis for* $\mathbb{C}S$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Y}$ [16].

Thus, the Fourier transform of f relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ is a collection of (matrix) coefficients which provide the expression of f in terms of the Fourier basis of $\mathbb{C}S$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$. In general, a *Fourier basis* of $\mathbb{C}S$ is any basis of $\mathbb{C}S$ which arises in this manner by some choice $\mathcal{Y}$ of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$. If $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ is expressed with respect to the Fourier basis of $\mathbb{C}S$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$, we simply say that f is expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Example 2.15. Let $S = \mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the cyclic group of order n. The irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_n$ are the characters $\chi_k : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ (for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$), defined on the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_n$ by $\chi_k(j) = e^{-2\pi ijk/n}$. It follows that, given $f = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} f(t)t \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_n$, the Fourier transform of f is the usual discrete Fourier transform of f:

$$\hat{f}(\chi_k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(j)e^{-2\pi ijk/n}.$$

The Fourier basis of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the usual basis of sampled exponential functions $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}$, where

$$b_k = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi ijk/n} j.$$

If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$, then the inverse Fourier transform on $\mathbb{C}S$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ is simply the change of basis back from the Fourier basis of $\mathbb{C}S$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ to the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}S$. Specifically:

Definition 2.16. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ and let z be an element of the algebra on the right in (1). Denote the preimage of z in the Wedderburn isomorphism by $\sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$. Then the collection of coefficients $\{f(s) : s \in S\}$ is the *inverse Fourier transform of* z. The *inverse Fourier transform on* $\mathbb{C}S$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Y}$ is the inverse of the Wedderburn isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{Y}$. Equivalently, if $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ is expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$, then the *inverse Fourier transform of* f is the collection of coefficients $\{f(s) : s \in S\}$ such that $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$, and the *inverse Fourier transform on* $\mathbb{C}S$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Y}$ is the change of basis within $\mathbb{C}S$ from the Fourier basis relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ to the natural basis.

Viewing Fourier transforms and inverse Fourier transforms as changes of basis within $\mathbb{C}S$, assuming that a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ is precomputed on the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}S$ and stored in memory, it follows that a naive implementation of the Fourier transform or inverse transform requires $|S|^2$ operations to apply to an element of $\mathbb{C}S$. A naive implementation of convolution for complex-valued functions on S also requires $|S|^2$ operations to compute $f * g$ for $f, g \in \mathbb{C}S$.

The convolution theorem for inverse semigroups is simply the restatement of the fact that the Wedderburn isomorphism is a homomorphism. Here it is.

Theorem 2.17. The Fourier transform on $\mathbb{C}S$ turns convolution of functions into multiplication of block-diagonal matrices. The Fourier transform turns convolution into pointwise multiplication if and only if every irreducible representation of $\mathbb{C}S$ has dimension 1.

It follows that efficient methods for computing Fourier transforms and inverse Fourier transforms in $\mathbb{C}S$ relative to the *same* set of inequivalent, irreducible representations $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ give rise to an efficient method for computing the convolution of functions on S. Specifically, to compute the convolution of $f, g \in \mathbb{C}S$, take the Fourier transforms of f and g, multiply the result, and take the inverse Fourier transform of that. Thus, if it takes no more than k_1 operations to compute the Fourier transform of an element of $\mathbb{C}S$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$, k_2 operations to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an element of the algebra on the right in (1) relative to $\mathcal{Y}$, and $M(n)$ operations to multiply two $n \times n$ complex matrices, then it takes no more than

$$2k_1 + k_2 + \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} M(d_\rho)$$

operations to compute the convolution $f * g$ of any functions $f, g \in \mathbb{C}S$. If k_1 and k_2 are $O(|S| \log^c |S|)$ for some constant c and we use naive matrix multiplication (which requires n^3 operations to multiply two $n \times n$ matrices), the number of operations needed to compute the convolution

$f * g$ is thus no more than

$$O(|S|\log^c|S|) + \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} {d_\rho}^3.$$

Let $d_{\max}$ denote the maximum degree of an irreducible representation of $\mathbb{C}S$. The crude (for large $|S|$) approximation $d_{\max} \leq |S|^{1/2}$ yields

$$\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} {d_\rho}^3 \leq d_{\max} \cdot \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} {d_\rho}^2 = d_{\max} \cdot |S| \leq |S|^{1/2}|S| = |S|^{3/2},$$

and for any constant a we certainly have $a|S|\log^c|S| < |S|^{3/2}$ for large enough $|S|$. This approach for computing convolutions therefore compares favorably to the $|S|^2 = \left(\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} {d_\rho}^2\right)^2 \geq \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{Y}} {d_\rho}^4$ operations a naive approach would take.

2.3. **Matrix algebras over group algebras.** Let S be a finite inverse semigroup. The work of Munn in the 1950's established that $\mathbb{C}S$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras over group algebras [18, 19, 20]. In 2002 Solomon wrote down an explicit isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}R_n$ and a direct sum of matrix algebras over symmetric group algebras [25], and in 2008 Steinberg made Munn's isomorphism explicit for all finite inverse semigroups [27]. In this section we recall Steinberg's isomorphism, which we will use in our general approach to fast Fourier inversion algorithms for finite inverse semigroups in Section 3 and in our proofs of our Fourier inversion formulas in Section 5. Before we can state his isomorphism we need to review four ideas—the natural partial order on S, the groupoid basis of $\mathbb{C}S$, the maximal subgroups of S, and Green's $\mathcal{D}$-relation. First we recall the natural partial order on S.

Definition 2.18. For $s, t \in S$, we say $t \leq s$ if and only if there exists an idempotent $e \in S$ such that $t = es$.

The idempotents of R_n are the restrictions of the identity mapping, so for elements $s, t \in R_n$, we have that $t \leq s$ if and only if s extends t as a partial function. If S is a group, then the natural partial order on S reduces to equality.

Next we recall Steinberg's *groupoid basis*, which is the basis he uses to implement his isomorphism [27]. He defines the groupoid basis $\{\lfloor s\rfloor\}_{s\in S}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ in the following manner. For $s \in S$, define

$$\lfloor s\rfloor = \sum_{t\in S: t\leq s} \mu(t,s)t,$$

where μ is the Möbius function of the natural partial order on S. If $s, t \in R_n$ with $t \leq s$, then it is well known [26, 27] that

$$\mu(t,s) = (-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(s)-\mathrm{rk}(t)}.$$

The natural basis of $\mathbb{C}S$ can be recovered by Möbius inversion: For $s \in S$, in $\mathbb{C}S$ we have

$$s = \sum_{t\in S: t\leq s} \lfloor t\rfloor.$$

It follows that if $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s) \in \mathbb{C}S$, then writing f with respect to the groupoid basis, we have $f = \sum_{s\in S} g(s)\lfloor s\rfloor$, where

$$g(s) = \sum_{t\in S: t\geq s} f(t).$$

Similarly, if $g = \sum_{s\in S} g(s)\lfloor s\rfloor \in \mathbb{C}S$, then writing g with respect to the semigroup basis, we have $g = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$, where

$$f(s) = \sum_{t\in S: t\geq s} \mu(s,t)g(t).$$

We call these changes of basis the *zeta transform* and the *Möbius transform* on $\mathbb{C}S$, respectively.

Definition 2.19. The *zeta transform of a function* $f : S \to \mathbb{C}$ is the collection of coefficients $\{\sum_{t \in S : t \geq s} f(t) : s \in S\}$. The *Möbius transform of a function* $f : S \to \mathbb{C}$ is the collection of coefficients $\{\sum_{t \in S : t \geq s} \mu(s,t) f(s) : s \in S\}$.

The groupoid basis multiplies in the following manner [27].

Theorem 2.20. For $s, t \in S$, in $\mathbb{C}S$ we have

$$\lfloor s \rfloor \lfloor t \rfloor = \begin{cases} \lfloor st \rfloor & \text{if } s^{-1}s = tt^{-1}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To motivate the importance of the groupoid basis, note that there is an alternative model for the composition of partial functions: for $s, t \in R_n$, we could allow the composition $s \circ t$ if and only if the domain of s lines up exactly with the range of t. For $s \in R_n$, $s^{-1}s$ is the partial identity on $\mathrm{dom}(s)$ and ss^{-1} is the partial identity on $\mathrm{ran}(s)$, so it follows that for $s, t \in R_n$, in $\mathbb{C}R_n$ we have

$$\lfloor s \rfloor \lfloor t \rfloor = \begin{cases} \lfloor st \rfloor & \text{if } \mathrm{dom}(s) = \mathrm{ran}(t); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is, the multiplication of the groupoid basis of $\mathbb{C}R_n$ encodes this alternate model of partial function composition [27].

If $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, we will identify A with the partial identity on A in R_n, so for $s \in R_n$, we will write $s^{-1}s = \mathrm{dom}(s)$ and $ss^{-1} = \mathrm{ran}(s)$. In fact, for any finite inverse semigroup S and $s \in S$, it is customary to write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{dom}(s) &= s^{-1}s, \\ \mathrm{ran}(s) &= ss^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The reason for this is that S is isomorphic to an inverse sub-semigroup of $R_{|S|}$ (Theorem 2.4), and if we identify S with an embedding of S in $R_{|S|}$, then for $s \in S$ we have $s^{-1}s = \mathrm{dom}(s)$ and $ss^{-1} = \mathrm{ran}(s)$. On the other hand, the notation in (2) makes perfect sense without an embedding of S into $R_{|S|}$. We will make extensive use of this notation, and when we do we will do so without reference to any particular embedding of S in any rook monoid. Note that, for any $s \in S$, we have $\mathrm{dom}(s^{-1}) = \mathrm{ran}(s)$ and $\mathrm{ran}(s^{-1}) = \mathrm{dom}(s)$, and if $e \in S$ is idempotent, then $\mathrm{ran}(e) = \mathrm{dom}(e) = e$. We restate Theorem 2.20.

Theorem 2.21. For $s, t \in S$, in $\mathbb{C}S$ we have

$$\lfloor s \rfloor \lfloor t \rfloor = \begin{cases} \lfloor st \rfloor & \text{if } \mathrm{dom}(s) = \mathrm{ran}(t); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next we recall what it means for a subset of S to be a maximal subgroup.

Definition 2.22. A subset G of S is said to be a *subgroup* of S if G is a group under the operation of S. If G is a subgroup of S, then G is said to be a *maximal subgroup* if G is not properly contained in any other subgroup of S.

Each idempotent e of S is the identity for a unique maximal subgroup of S, called the *maximal subgroup of S at e* [7]. In fact, if we denote the maximal subgroup of S at e by G_e, then we have [27]

$$G_e = \{s \in S : \mathrm{dom}(s) = \mathrm{ran}(s) = e\}.$$

Thus if $e \in R_n$ is idempotent and $\mathrm{rk}(e) = k$, then G_e is isomorphic to S_k, the permutation group on k elements.

Finally we recall Green's $\mathcal{D}$-relation [11].

Definition 2.23. For $s, t \in S$, we define $s \mathcal{D} t$ and we say that *s is $\mathcal{D}$-related to t* if there exists $x \in S$ such that $\mathrm{dom}(x) = \mathrm{ran}(s)$ and $\mathrm{ran}(x) = \mathrm{ran}(t)$.

$\mathcal{D}$ is an equivalence relation on S, and the equivalence classes of S under $\mathcal{D}$ are called the $\mathcal{D}$-classes of S. We note that if $s, t \in S$ and $\mathrm{dom}(s) = \mathrm{ran}(t)$, then we certainly have that $s \mathcal{D} t$ (by taking $x = s^{-1}$). For $s, t \in R_n$, we have that $s \mathcal{D} t$ if and only if $\mathrm{rk}(s) = \mathrm{rk}(t)$, so R_n has $n+1$ $\mathcal{D}$-classes.

We can now describe Steinberg's isomorphism. Let $D_0, \dots, D_n$ denote the $\mathcal{D}$-classes of S, and let $\mathbb{C}D_k$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-span of $\{\lfloor s \rfloor : s \in D_k\}$. It is clear from Theorem 2.21 that, as an algebra, $\mathbb{C}S = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathbb{C}D_k$. For each $\mathcal{D}$-class D_k, fix an idempotent e_k, and let G_k denote the maximal subgroup of S at e_k. For each idempotent $e \in \mathcal{D}_k$, fix an element $p_e \in S$ such that $\mathrm{dom}(p_e) = e_k$ and $\mathrm{ran}(p_e) = e$, taking $p_{e_k} = e_k$. Let r_k denote the number of idempotents in D_k. Steinberg gives the following explicit algebra isomorphism from $\mathbb{C}D_k$ to $M_{r_k}(\mathbb{C}G_k)$ [27].

Theorem 2.24. Viewing $r_k \times r_k$ matrices as being indexed by pairs of idempotents in D_k, define a map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}D_k \to M_{r_k}(\mathbb{C}G_k)$ by, for $s \in D_k$,

$$\Phi(\lfloor s \rfloor) = {p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)} E_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)},$$

where $E_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}$ is the standard $r_k \times r_k$ matrix with a 1 in the $\mathrm{ran}(s), \mathrm{dom}(s)$ position and 0 elsewhere, and extending linearly to the rest of $\mathbb{C}D_k$. Then Φ is an isomorphism, with inverse induced by, for $s \in G_k$,

$$sE_{e,f} \mapsto \lfloor p_e s p_f^{-1} \rfloor.$$

Note that $p_e \in D_k$ implies ${p_e}^{-1} \in D_k$, and note that if $s \in D_k$ then ${p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)} \in G_k$ by construction. Since $\mathbb{C}S = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathbb{C}D_k$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{C}S \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} M_{r_k}(\mathbb{C}G_k).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.24, we have the following method for generating the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ from the irreducible representations of the maximal subgroups of S [27].

Theorem 2.25. Let $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$ be a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G_k$. If $\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$, define the representation $\bar{\rho}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ in the following way. First, define $\bar{\rho}$ on $M_{r_k}(\mathbb{C}G_k)$ by, for $g \in G_k$ and idempotents $a, b \in D_k$,

$$\bar{\rho}(gE_{a,b}) = E_{a,b} \otimes \rho(g),$$

and extending linearly to the rest of $M_{r_k}(\mathbb{C}G_k)$. Then extend $\bar{\rho}$ to the rest of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^n M_{r_k}(\mathbb{C}G_k)$ (and hence to $\mathbb{C}S$) by letting it be 0 on the other summands. Then $\mathcal{Y} = \{\bar{\rho} : \rho \in \biguplus_{k=0}^n \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)\}$ is a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$.

Definition 2.26. With notation as in Theorem 2.25, for $\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$, let $\bar{\rho}$ be the corresponding irreducible representation of $\mathbb{C}S$. We call $\mathcal{Y} = \{\bar{\rho} : \rho \in \biguplus_{k=0}^n \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)\}$ an *induced* set of representations of $\mathbb{C}S$.

By Theorem 2.25, an induced set of representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ is automatically a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$.

In preparation for our fast inverse Fourier transform for the rook monoid in Section 4, we now recall how Steinberg's isomorphism translates into Solomon's isomorphism for $S = R_n$ [25, 27]. See also [13, 14, 15].

Example 2.27. Let $D_0, \dots, D_n$ denote the $\mathcal{D}$ classes of R_n, where D_k denotes the set of elements of R_n of rank k. Since the idempotents of R_n are the restrictions of the identity map, D_k has $\binom{n}{k}$ idempotents. Let e_k be the partial identity on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, so

$$G_k = \{\sigma \in R_n : \mathrm{dom}(\sigma) = \mathrm{ran}(\sigma) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\}.$$

G_k is isomorphic to the permutation group S_k, and we identify G_k with S_k in the obvious manner. For each idempotent $e \in D_k$, let $p_e \in D_k$ be the unique order-preserving injection from $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ to $\operatorname{ran}(e) = \operatorname{dom}(e)$. For $s \in D_k$, define $\operatorname{perm}(s)$ to be the permutation in G_k obtained by relabeling $\operatorname{dom}(s)$ and $\operatorname{ran}(s)$ as $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ in an order-preserving fashion. That is,

$$\operatorname{perm}(s) = {p_{\operatorname{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\operatorname{dom}(s)}.$$

Then we have the isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}R_n \to \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} M_{\binom{n}{k}}(\mathbb{C}S_k)$$

induced by, for $s \in D_k$,

$$\Phi(\lfloor s \rfloor) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} M^j(\lfloor s \rfloor),$$

where $M^j(\lfloor s \rfloor)$ is the zero matrix for $j \neq k$, and $M^k(\lfloor s \rfloor)$ is an $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}$ matrix (whose rows and columns are indexed by the k-subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$), given by

$$M^k(\lfloor s \rfloor) = \operatorname{perm}(s) E_{\operatorname{ran}(s),\operatorname{dom}(s)},$$

the matrix that is $\operatorname{perm}(s)$ in the $\operatorname{ran}(s), \operatorname{dom}(s)$ position and 0 elsewhere.

3. Fast Fourier inversion for finite inverse semigroups—a general approach

In this section we introduce a general method for the construction of fast inverse Fourier transforms for finite inverse semigroups. We use our approach to give general bounds on the complexity of the inverse Fourier transform for a finite inverse semigroup in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2. We design fast inverse Fourier transforms for the rook monoid and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups based on this approach in Section 4.

Let S be a finite inverse semigroup. As in Section 2.3, let $D_0, \ldots, D_n$ be the $\mathcal{D}$-classes of S, let r_k denote the number of idempotents in D_k, and choose an idempotent e_k from each $\mathcal{D}$-class D_k. For every idempotent $e \in D_k$, fix an element $p_e \in S$ such that $\operatorname{dom}(p_e) = e_k$ and $\operatorname{ran}(p_e) = e$, taking $p_{e_k} = e_k$. Let G_k be the maximal subgroup at e_k and let $\operatorname{IRR}(G_k)$ be a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G_k$. With notation as in Theorem 2.25, let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the induced set of representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ given by $\mathcal{Y} = \{\bar{\rho} : \rho \in \biguplus_{k=0}^{n} \operatorname{IRR}(G_k)\}$.

3.1. Evaluating the Fourier transform.

In this section we recall the main idea from [14], which describes the structure of the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{C}S$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$. Let $f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}S$. Writing f relative to the groupoid basis of $\mathbb{C}S$, we have

$$f = \sum_{s \in S} g(s) \lfloor s \rfloor,$$

where $g : S \to \mathbb{C}$ is the function given by

$$g(s) = \sum_{t \in S : t \geq s} f(t).$$

If $\rho \in \operatorname{IRR}(G_k)$, then

$$\bar{\rho}(\lfloor s \rfloor) = \begin{cases} E_{\operatorname{ran}(s),\operatorname{dom}(s)} \otimes \rho({p_{\operatorname{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\operatorname{dom}(s)}) & \text{if } s \in D_k; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

View $\hat{f}(\bar{\rho})$ as an $r_k \times r_k$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the idempotents in D_k and whose entries are themselves $d_\rho \times d_\rho$ matrices. By Theorem 2.24, for idempotents $a, b \in D_k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(\bar{\rho})_{a,b} &= \sum_{s\in D_k:\mathrm{ran}(s)=a,\mathrm{dom}(s)=b} g(s)\rho({p_a}^{-1} s p_b) \\ &= \sum_{s\in G_k} g(p_a s {p_b}^{-1})\rho(s).\end{aligned}$$

If we define a function $h_{a,b} : G_k \to \mathbb{C}$ by, for $s \in G_k$,

$$h_{a,b}(s) = g(p_a s p_b^{-1}),$$

then we see that

$$\hat{f}(\bar{\rho})_{a,b} = \sum_{s\in G_k} h_{a,b}(s)\rho(s),$$

the Fourier transform (in $\mathbb{C}G_k$) of $h_{a,b}$ at ρ.

Thus, the a, b entry of $\hat{f}(\bar{\rho})$ is a function of the coefficients

$$\{g(s) : s \in D_k, \mathrm{ran}(s) = a, \mathrm{dom}(s) = b\}.$$

In light of this, in [13] we proposed the following general approach to the construction of FFTs for $\mathbb{C}S$: To compute the Fourier transform of $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}S$ relative to an induced set of representations of $\mathbb{C}S$, first compute the change of basis of f to the groupoid basis (that is, compute the zeta transform of f), and then for each $\mathcal{D}$-class D_k, compute r_k^2 group Fourier transforms on G_k. We then showed how efficient algorithms for each of these steps combine to yield FFTs of complexity $O(|S| \log^c |S|)$ (c a constant depending on the family of S) for various families of inverse semigroups S of interest—specifically, for the rook monoid and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups.

3.2. **Fast Fourier inversion for finite inverse semigroups.** We now propose the reversal of the process described in Section 3.1 as a general framework for the construction of FIFTs for inverse semigroups: Given a set of induced representations $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ and an element $f \in \mathbb{C}S$ expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$, to find the coefficients $f(s)$ such that $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$, first compute the coefficients $g(s)$ for which $f = \sum_{s\in S} g(s)\lfloor s\rfloor$, and then compute the coefficients $f(s)$ by computing the change of basis from the groupoid basis to the semigroup basis.

Recall that we define an *operation* to be a complex multiplication together with a complex addition. The following result is the natural complement to the main result in [14].

Theorem 3.1. The number of operations required to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function f on S expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$ is no more than

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_k^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{IRR}(G_k), G_k),$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mu_S)$ is maximum number of operations required to compute the Möbius transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on S and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{IRR}(G_k), G_k)$ is the maximum number of operations required to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on G_k expressed with respect to $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$.

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s$ be an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on S. We assume we have the coefficients of the matrices $\hat{f}(\bar{\rho}) = \bar{\rho}(f)$ for all $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{Y}$ stored in memory, and we show that we can compute the coefficients $f(s)$ from this information in the stated number of operations. Let $g : S \to \mathbb{C}$ be the function given by, for $s \in S$, $g(s) = \sum_{t\in S: t\geq s} f(t)$, so that

$$f = \sum_{s\in S} f(s)s = \sum_{s\in S} g(s)\lfloor s\rfloor.$$

Let $a, b \in D_k$ be idempotent and let $S_{a,b} = \{s \in D_k : \mathrm{ran}(s) = a, \mathrm{dom}(s) = b\}$. By Theorem 2.24 we have the bijection

$$h_{a,b} : S_{a,b} \to G_k$$

given by $h_{a,b}(s) = {p_a}^{-1} s p_b$, with inverse

$$h_{a,b}{}^{-1} : G_k \to S_{a,b}$$

given by $h_{a,b}{}^{-1}(s) = p_a s {p_b}^{-1}$. Let $g_{a,b} : G_k \to \mathbb{C}$ by $g_{a,b}(s) = g(p_a s {p_b}^{-1})$, so that for all $\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$, we have $\hat{f}(\bar{\rho})_{a,b} = \hat{g}_{a,b}(\rho)$, the Fourier transform of $g_{a,b}$ at ρ in G_k. If we invert the $\hat{g}_{a,b}(\rho)$ as ρ varies over $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$, then we recover the coefficients $\{g_{a,b}(s) : s \in G_k\} = \{g(p_a s {p_b}^{-1}) : s \in G_k\} = \{g(s) : s \in S_{a,b}\}$. By assumption this computation requires no more than $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{IRR}(G_k), G_k)$ operations. Thus, computing the coefficients $g(s)$ for all $s \in S$ requires no more than

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} r_k^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{IRR}(G_k), G_k)$$

operations.

We can then compute the coefficients $f(s)$ from the coefficients $g(s)$ by computing the change of basis from the groupoid basis of S to the semigroup basis (that is, by computing the Möbius transform of the function g), which by assumption requires no more than $\mathcal{C}(\mu_S)$ operations. ☐

Corollary 3.2. With notation as in Theorem 3.1, let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G_k)$ denote the quantity

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G_k) = \min\{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{R}_k, G_k)\},$$

where the minimum is taken across all complete sets $\mathcal{R}_k$ of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G_k$. Then there exists a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$ such that the number of operations required to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on S expressed with respect to $\mathcal{R}$ is no more than

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_k^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G_k).$$

Proof. For $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{R}_k$ be a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G_k$ such that the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on G_k expressed with respect to $\mathcal{R}_k$ can be computed in no more than $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G_k)$ operations. Then let $\mathcal{R}$ be the complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}S$ induced by $\biguplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}_k$. The result follows from Theorem 3.1, with $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k) = \mathcal{R}_k$. ☐

4. Fast Fourier inversion for the rook monoid and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups

In this section we use the ideas of Section 3 to develop fast Fourier inversion algorithms for the rook monoid and its wreath product by arbitrary finite groups. Our algorithms in this section compute the inversion of elements of $\mathbb{C}S$ which are expressed with respect to the same representations we used to construct FFTs for these semigroups in [14], so our algorithms in this section together with our algorithms in [14] also automatically give rise to fast convolution algorithms for these semigroups.

4.1. **Fast Fourier inversion for the rook monoid.** In [14] we showed that the Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on R_n can be computed in $O(|R_n| \log^3 |R_n|)$ operations. We accomplished this by showing that, for any $f = \sum_{s \in R_n} f(s) s \in \mathbb{C}R_n$, the change of basis from the semigroup basis to the groupoid basis of $\mathbb{C}R_n$ can be computed in no more than $\frac{2}{3} n^3 |R_n|$ operations, and the change of basis from the groupoid basis to the Fourier basis of $\mathbb{C}R_n$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ can be computed in no more than $\frac{3}{4} n(n-1)|R_n|$ operations, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the set of representations of $\mathbb{C}R_n$

induced by Young's seminormal (or orthogonal) representations of the symmetric group. From these results our result follows from the fact that $n = O(\log |R_n|)$.

Our result was subsequently improved by an algorithm of Björklund et al. [3], which finds small circuits for computing zeta transforms and Möbius transforms of arbitrary functions on lattices with few join-irreducibles. In particular, their work implies that there exists an algorithm for computing the change of basis from the semigroup basis to the groupoid basis of $\mathbb{C}R_n$ that requires no more than $O(|R_n| \log^2 |R_n|)$ operations—this proves the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f = \sum_{s \in R_n} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}R_n$. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}R_n$ induced by Young's seminormal or orthogonal representations of the symmetric group. Then the Fourier transform of f relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ can be computed in no more than $O(|R_n| \log^2 |R_n|)$ operations.

We now show that a similar result holds for Fourier inversion in R_n. In particular, we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f = \sum_{s \in R_n} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}R_n$. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}R_n$ induced by Young's seminormal or orthogonal representations of the symmetric group. If $f \in \mathbb{C}R_n$ is expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$, then we can compute the coefficients $\{f(s) : s \in R_n\}$ such that $f = \sum_{s \in R_n} f(s)s$ in no more than $O(|R_n| \log^2 |R_n|)$ operations.

Proof. Let $D_0, \ldots, D_n$ denote the $\mathcal{D}$-classes of R_n, where D_k is the set of elements of R_n of rank k. Since D_k contains $\binom{n}{k}$ idempotents, by Theorem 3.1 the number of operations needed to compute the coefficients $\{f(s) : s \in R_n\}$ is no more than

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 \mathcal{T}_{\text{inv}}(\text{IRR}(S_k), S_k),$$

where $\text{IRR}(S_k)$ is Young's seminormal or orthogonal representations of $\mathbb{C}S_k$.

Maslen's algorithm [16] for Fourier inversion on $\mathbb{C}S_k$ implies that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{inv}}(\text{IRR}(S_k), S_k) \leq \frac{3}{4}k(k-1)k!,$$

so we need no more than

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 \frac{3}{4}k(k-1)k! &\leq \mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \frac{3}{4}n(n-1) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 k! \\ &= \mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + \frac{3}{4}n(n-1)|R_n| \\ &= \mathcal{C}(\mu_S) + O(|R_n| \log^2 |R_n|) \end{aligned}$$

operations to compute the coefficients $\{f(s) : s \in R_n\}$.

We will therefore be done as soon as we show how the algorithm of Björklund et al. [3] implies that

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu_S) = O(|R_n| \log^2 |R_n|).$$

Our argument for this is similar to, although somewhat more involved than, the argument given in [3] for why their algorithm implies that the zeta transform on R_n can be computed in $O(|R_n| \log^2 |R_n|)$ operations, so we spell it out in detail. The algorithm in [3] designs arithmetic circuits for upward and downward zeta and Möbius transforms on finite lattices. Given a lattice L with v elements, j of which are join-irreducible, the algorithm of [3] finds arithmetic circuits, each of size $O(vj)$, for computing the upward and downward zeta and Möbius transforms of arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on L. In our terminology, such an arithmetic circuit can complete its computations on $O(vj)$ operations.

Using Maslen's algorithm for Fourier inversion on the symmetric groups $\mathbb{C}S_k$ as above computes the coefficients $g(s)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{s\in R_n} f(s)s = \sum_{s\in R_n} g(s)\lfloor s\rfloor,$$

where $g(s) = \sum_{t\in R_n : t\geq s} f(t)$. We are now interested in computing the coefficients

$$f(s) = \sum_{t\in R_n:t\geq s} \mu(s,t)g(t) = \sum_{t\in R_n:t\geq s} (-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(t)-\mathrm{rk}(s)} g(t),$$

for all $s \in R_n$, so what we require is the computation of the upward Möbius transform of g on R_n. Unlike most inverse semigroups, $(R_n, \leq)$ is a meet-semilattice. The meet $\sigma\wedge\tau \in R_n$ of two elements $\sigma,\tau \in R_n$ is the maximal common restriction between σ and τ—namely, $\sigma\wedge\tau$ is the element such that

$$\mathrm{dom}(\sigma\wedge\tau) = \{x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} : x \in \mathrm{dom}(\sigma)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\tau) \text{ and } \sigma(x) = \tau(x)\},$$

and for $x \in \mathrm{dom}(\sigma\wedge\tau)$, we have $\sigma\wedge\tau(x) = \sigma(x) = \tau(x)$.

Let L denote $(R_n, \leq)$ with a formal maximal element m adjoined. Since $(R_n, \leq)$ is a meet-semilattice, L is a lattice. Let $n \geq 1$. The join-irreducibles of L are the elements of R_n of rank 1, of which there are n^2. We write μ for the Möbius function of $(R_n, \leq)$, and μ_L for the Möbius function of L. The algorithm of Björklund et al. finds an arithmetic circuit of size $O(n^2|L|)$ for computing the upward Möbius transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on L. Let $g_L : L \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g_L(s) = \begin{cases} g(s) & \text{if } s \in R_n; \\ 0 & \text{if } s = m. \end{cases}$$

Then we can compute the coefficients

$$f_L(s) = \sum_{t\in L:t\geq s} \mu_L(s,t)g_L(t)$$

for all $s \in L$ in $O(n^2|L|)$ operations. In general, the Möbius function of a partial order evaluated at an ordered pair (a, b) depends only on the interval $[a, b]$ in the partial order, so for $s, t \in R_n$ we have $\mu_L(s,t) = \mu(s,t)$. Since $g_L(m) = 0$, for $s \in R_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_L(s) &= \mu_L(s,m)g_L(m) + \sum_{t\in L:t\geq s,t\neq m} \mu_L(s,t)g_L(t) \\ &= \mu_L(s,m)\cdot 0 + \sum_{t\in R_n:t\geq s} \mu_L(s,t)g_L(t) \\ &= \sum_{t\in R_n:t\geq s} \mu(s,t)g(t) \\ &= f(s). \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore compute the coefficients $f(s)$ from the coefficients $g(s)$ in $O(n^2|L|)$ operations. Since $g : R_n \to \mathbb{C}$ was an arbitrary function in the discussion above, we have $\mathcal{C}(\mu_S) = O(n^2|L|)$, and since $n^2|L| = n^2(|R_n| + 1) = |R_n|n^2 + n_2 = O(|R_n|\log^2|R_n| + \log^2|R_n|) = O(|R_n|\log^2|R_n|)$, we are done. □

4.2. **Fast Fourier inversion for rook wreath products.**

Definition 4.3. If G is a finite group, then the *rook wreath product* $G \wr R_n$ is the semigroup of all $n\times n$ matrices with entries in $G\uplus\{0\}$ having at most one entry from G in each row and column under the operation of matrix multiplication (extended from the multiplication of G), where $0\cdot g = g\cdot 0 = 0$ for all $g \in G$.

Clearly we recover R_n as $\mathbb{Z}_1 \wr R_n$. In [14] we showed that, if G is an arbitrary finite group, then the Fourier transform of an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on $G \wr R_n$ can be computed in $O(|G \wr R_n| \log^4 |G \wr R_n|)$ operations. We now show that a similar result holds for Fourier inversion for $G \wr R_n$.

Theorem 4.4. There exists a complete set $\mathcal{Y}$ of inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ such that the Fourier transform relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ of an arbitrary element $f = \sum_{s \in G \wr R_n} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ can be computed in $O(|G \wr R_n| \log^4 |G \wr R_n|)$ operations and, if $f \in \mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ is an arbitrary element expressed relative to $\mathcal{Y}$, then the inverse Fourier transform of f can be computed in $O(|G \wr R_n| \log^4 |G \wr R_n|)$ operations.

Proof. Recall that the *symmetric group wreath product* $G \wr S_k$ is group of $k \times k$ matrices with entries in $G \uplus \{0\}$ with exactly one entry from G in each row and column, under the operation of matrix multiplication. For $x \in G \wr R_n$, let $\mathrm{rk}(x)$ denote the number of rows (or columns) of x with an entry in G. The idempotents of $G \wr R_n$ are the restrictions of the identity matrix, and if $e \in G \wr R_n$ is idempotent with $\mathrm{rk}(e) = k$, then the maximal subgroup G_e at e is isomorphic to $G \wr S_k$ [14].

The natural partial order $\leq$ on $G \wr R_n$ can be described in the following manner. For $s, t \in G \wr R_n$, we have $s \leq t$ if and only if s may be obtained from t by replacing entries of t with 0. The $\mathcal{D}$-classes of $G \wr R_n$ are $D_0, \ldots, D_n$, where $D_k = \{x \in G \wr R_n : \mathrm{rk}(x) = k\}$, so D_k contains $\binom{n}{k}$ idempotents. It is easy to see that $|G \wr S_k| = k!|G|^k$,

$$|G \wr R_n| = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 k!|G|^k,$$

and $n = O(\log |G \wr R_n|)$.

Let $\mathrm{IRR}(G \wr S_k)$ denote the complete set of inequivalent, irreducible representations of the symmetric group wreath product algebra $\mathbb{C}G \wr S_k$ constructed in [22]. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the set of representations of $\mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ induced by the $\mathrm{IRR}(G \wr S_k)$. $\mathcal{Y}$ is the set of representations we used in [14] for constructing our $O(|G \wr R_n| \log^4 |G \wr R_n|)$-complexity Fourier transform, and we now show that the inverse Fourier transform relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ can also be computed in the stated number of operations.

In [22] it is shown that, if G has h conjugacy classes, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{IRR}(G \wr S_k), G \wr S_k) \leq |G \wr S_k| \left(|G| \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + 2^h \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4} + 1 \right).$$

Note that $|G|$ and h are constants with respect to n. By Theorem 3.1, the number of operations required to compute the inverse Fourier transform of an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{C}G \wr R_n$ expressed with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$ is no more than

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{C}(\mu_{G \wr R_n}) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 |G \wr S_k| \left(|G| \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + 2^h \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4} + 1 \right) \\
&\leq \mathcal{C}(\mu_{G \wr R_n}) + \left(|G| \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 2^h \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} + 1 \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 k!|G|^k \\
&\leq \mathcal{C}(\mu_{G \wr R_n}) + O(|G \wr R_n| \log^4 |G \wr R_n|).
\end{aligned}$$

We now show how the algorithm of Björklund et al. [3] implies that

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu_{G \wr R_n}) = O(|G \wr R_n| \log^2 |G \wr R_n|),$$

which will finish the proof. Our argument for this is similar to our argument in the proof of Theorem 4.2. $G \wr R_n$ is a meet-semilattice, where the meet $x \wedge y$ of two elements $x, y \in G \wr R_n$ is given by the maximal common restriction of x and y. Specifically, the rows and columns of the elements of $G \wr R_n$ are indexed by $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$(x \wedge y)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x_{i,j} & \text{if } x_{i,j} = y_{i,j}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that viewing elements of R_n as rook matrices, this categorization of $\wedge$ on $\mathbb{Z}_1 \wr R_n$ coincides with the categorization of $\wedge$ on R_n given in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Thus, $G \wr R_n$ with a formal maximal element m adjoined is a lattice, which we denote by L. Let $n \geq 1$. The join-irreducibles of L are the elements of $G \wr R_n$ with exactly one entry in G, of which there are $|G|n^2$. The algorithm of Björklund et al. [3] finds an arithmetic circuit of size $O(|L||G|n^2)$ for computing the upward Möbius transform of any $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on L. If $g : G \wr R_n \to \mathbb{C}$ then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, the upward Möbius transform of g on $G \wr R_n$ can be computed by computing the upward Möbius transform of $g_L : L \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$g_L(s) = \begin{cases} g(s) & \text{if } s \in G \wr R_n; \\ 0 & \text{if } s = m. \end{cases}$$

Thus, since $|G|$ is a constant with respect to n, $\mathcal{C}(\mu_{G \wr R_n}) = O((|L||G|n^2) = O((|G \wr R_n| + 1)|G|n^2) = O(|G \wr R_n| \log^2 |G \wr R_n|)$. ☐

5. Fourier inversion formulas for finite inverse semigroups

In this section we introduce and prove several Fourier inversion theorems for arbitrary finite inverse semigroups (Theorems 5.2–5.5). Theorems 5.2 and 5.3 are generalizations of Fourier inversion theorems proved for the rook monoid in [13], while Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 are entirely new. We begin by recalling the Fourier inversion theorem for finite groups [24, Section 6.2].

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite group, and let $f = \sum_{s \in G} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}G$. Let $\mathrm{IRR}(G)$ be a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible matrix representations of $\mathbb{C}G$. Then*

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G)} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(s^{-1})\right).$$

Now, let S be a finite inverse semigroup. As in Section 2.3, let $D_0, \dots, D_n$ be the $\mathcal{D}$-classes of S, let r_k denote the number of idempotents in D_k, and choose an idempotent e_k from each $\mathcal{D}$-class D_k. For every idempotent $e \in D_k$, fix an element $p_e \in S$ such that $\mathrm{dom}(p_e) = e_k$ and $\mathrm{ran}(p_e) = e$, taking $p_{e_k} = e_k$. Let G_k be the maximal subgroup at e_k. Let $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$ be a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible matrix representations of $\mathbb{C}G_k$, and let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the induced set of representations $\mathcal{Y} = \{\bar{\rho} : \rho \in \biguplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)\}$ of $\mathbb{C}S$.

Here is our first inversion theorem, which expresses Fourier inversion relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ in terms of the groupoid basis.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $g = \sum_{s \in S} g(s)\lfloor s \rfloor \in \mathbb{C}S$, and let $s \in D_k$. Let $y \in G_k$ be the element defined by*

$$y = {p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}.$$

For $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{Y}$, view $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})$ as an $r_k \times r_k$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the idempotents in D_k and whose entries are themselves $d_\rho \times d_\rho$ matrices. For idempotents $a, b \in D_k$, denote the a, b entry of $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})$ (itself a $d_\rho \times d_\rho$ matrix) by $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})_{a,b}$. Then

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}\rho(y^{-1})\right).$$

Proof. For $\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$ we have

$$\hat{g}(\bar{\rho}) = \sum_{x \in S} g(x)\bar{\rho}(\lfloor x \rfloor),$$

with $\bar{\rho}(\lfloor x \rfloor) = 0$ if $x \notin D_k$. As in Section 3.1, the $\mathrm{ran}(s), \mathrm{dom}(s)$ entry of $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})$ is determined by the values $g(x)$ for which $\mathrm{dom}(x) = \mathrm{dom}(s)$ and $\mathrm{ran}(x) = \mathrm{ran}(s)$ (and the values $g(x)$ for such x do not affect any of the other entries of $\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})$), and if we define a function $g_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)} : G_k \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}(x) = g(p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)} x {p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}}^{-1}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)} &= \sum_{x \in G_k} g(p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)} x {p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}}^{-1})\rho(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in G_k} g_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}(x)\rho(x) \\ &= \hat{g}_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}(\rho),\end{aligned}$$

the Fourier transform of $g_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}$ at ρ in $\mathbb{C}G$.

Note that $s = p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)} y {p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}}^{-1}$, because

$$\begin{aligned}s &= ss^{-1}ss^{-1}s \\ &= \mathrm{ran}(s) s \mathrm{dom}(s) \\ &= p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)} {p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)} {p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}}^{-1} \\ &= p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)} y {p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}}^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The Fourier inversion theorem for groups applies to $g_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}$, and yields

$$\begin{aligned}g(s) &= g(p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)} y {p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}}^{-1}) \\ &= g_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}(\rho)\rho(y^{-1})\right),\end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\hat{g}_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}(\rho) = \hat{g}(\bar{\rho})_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)},$$

we are done. ☐

Now, let $\mathcal{X}$ be *any* set of inequivalent, irreducible matrix representations for $\mathbb{C}S$. Here is our next inversion theorem, which expresses Fourier inversion relative to $\mathcal{X}$ in terms of the groupoid basis.

Theorem 5.3. Let $g = \sum_{s \in S} g(s)\lfloor s \rfloor \in \mathbb{C}S$. Let $s \in D_k$. For $\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$, let $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{Y}$ denote the corresponding induced representation of $\mathbb{C}S$, which is equivalent to some representation $\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\widetilde{\rho})\widetilde{\rho}(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)\right).$$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\rho}$ is equivalent to $\bar{\rho}$, we have $\bar{\rho} = A^{-1}\widetilde{\rho}A$ for some invertible matrix A. It follows that

$$\hat{g}(\bar{\rho}) = A^{-1}\hat{g}(\widetilde{\rho})A.$$

As in Theorem 5.2, let $y \in G_k$ be the element defined by $y = {p_{\mathrm{ran}(s)}}^{-1} s p_{\mathrm{dom}(s)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})_{\mathrm{ran}(s),\mathrm{dom}(s)}\rho(y^{-1})\right) &= \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})\left(E_{\mathrm{dom}(s),\mathrm{ran}(s)} \otimes \rho(y^{-1})\right)\right) \\
&= \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)\right) \\
&= \operatorname{trace}\left(\left(A^{-1}\hat{g}(\widetilde{\rho})A\right)\left(A^{-1}\widetilde{\rho}(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)A\right)\right) \\
&= \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{-1}\hat{g}(\widetilde{\rho})\widetilde{\rho}(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)A\right) \\
&= \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\widetilde{\rho})\widetilde{\rho}(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the similarity-invariance of trace. The theorem now follows from Theorem 5.2. ☐

Our next inversion theorem also expresses Fourier inversion relative to $\mathcal{X}$ in terms of the groupoid basis, but does so without referencing the $\mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $g = \sum_{s \in S} g(s)\lfloor s \rfloor \in \mathbb{C}S$. Let $s \in D_k$. Then

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{r_k |G_k|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{X}} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{g}(\rho)\rho(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)\right).$$

Proof. If $\rho \in \mathcal{X}$, then ρ is equivalent to some representation $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{Y}$, which was induced by some representation $\rho' \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_j)$ for some $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$.

Notice that since $s \in D_k$, we also have $s^{-1} \in D_k$, and thus $\bar{\rho}(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)$ is 0 unless $\rho' \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$. It follows that $\rho(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)$ is 0 unless $\rho' \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$. If $\rho' \in \mathrm{IRR}(G_k)$, then we have $d_\rho = d_{\bar{\rho}} = r_k d_{\rho'}$, so $d_{\rho'} = d_\rho / r_k$. The theorem now follows from Theorem 5.3. ☐

Our last inversion theorem expresses Fourier inversion relative to $\mathcal{X}$ in terms of the semigroup basis.

Theorem 5.5. Let $f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)s \in \mathbb{C}S$. For $t \in D_j$, let $G(t)$ denote G_j (the maximal subgroup at e_j), and let $r(t)$ denote r_j (the number of idempotents in D_j). Then for any $s \in S$ we have

$$f(s) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{X}} d_\rho \sum_{t \in S : t \geq s} \frac{\mu(s,t)}{r(t)|G(t)|} \sum_{v \in S : v^{-1} \leq t^{-1}} \mu(v^{-1}, t^{-1}) \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(v^{-1})\right).$$

Proof. We have that $f = \sum_{s \in S} g(s)\lfloor s \rfloor$, where $g(s) = \sum_{t \in S : t \geq s} f(t)$. For $s \in D_j$, by Theorem 5.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(s) &= \frac{1}{r_j |G_j|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{X}} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(\lfloor s^{-1} \rfloor)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{r_j |G_j|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{X}} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho\left(\sum_{t \in S : t^{-1} \leq s^{-1}} \mu(t^{-1}, s^{-1}) t^{-1}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{r_j |G_j|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{X}} d_\rho \operatorname{trace}\left(\sum_{t \in S : t^{-1} \leq s^{-1}} \mu(t^{-1}, s^{-1}) \hat{f}(\rho)\rho(t^{-1})\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{r_j |G_j|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{X}} d_\rho \sum_{t \in S : t^{-1} \leq s^{-1}} \mu(t^{-1}, s^{-1}) \operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(t^{-1})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g(s) = \sum_{t\in S:t\geq s} f(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= \sum_{t\in S:t\geq s} \mu(s,t)g(t) \\ &= \sum_{t\in S:t\geq s} \mu(s,t)\left(\frac{1}{r(t)|G(t)|}\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{X}} d_\rho \sum_{v\in S:v^{-1}\leq t^{-1}} \mu(v^{-1},t^{-1})\operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(v^{-1})\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{X}} d_\rho \sum_{t\in S:t\geq s} \frac{\mu(s,t)}{r(t)|G(t)|} \sum_{v\in S:v^{-1}\leq t^{-1}} \mu(v^{-1},t^{-1})\operatorname{trace}\left(\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(v^{-1})\right), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Remark. If S is a group, then the statements of Theorems 5.2–5.5 all reduce to the statement of the Fourier inversion theorem for groups (Theorem 5.1).

REFERENCES

[1] U. Baum. Existence and efficient construction of fast Fourier transforms for supersolvable groups. *Comput. Complex.*, 1(3):235–256, 1991.

[2] U. Baum and M. Clausen. Some lower and upper complexity bounds for generalized Fourier transforms and their inverses. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 20:451–459, 1991.

[3] A. Björklund, T. Husfeldt, P. Kaski, M. Koivisto, J. Nederlof, and P. Parviainen. Fast zeta transforms for lattices with few irreducibles. In *Proc. 23rd ACM-SIAM SODA*, pages 1436–1444, 2012.

[4] L. I. Bluestein. A linear filtering approach to the computation of the discrete Fourier transform. *IEEE Trans. Electroacoustics*, 18(4):451–455, 1970.

[5] E. O. Brigham. *The fast Fourier transform and its applications.* Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1988.

[6] M. Clausen and U. Baum. Fast Fourier transforms for symmetric groups: Theory and implementation. *Math. Comput.*, 61(204):833–847, 1993.

[7] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups. Vol. 1.* Mathematical Surveys No. 7, AMS, Providence, RI, 1961.

[8] J. W. Cooley and J. W. Tukey. An algorithm for machine calculation of complex Fourier series. *Math. Comput.*, 19:297–301, 1965.

[9] P. Diaconis. *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*, volume 11 of *Lecture Notes—Monograph Series.* Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1988.

[10] P. Diaconis. A generalization of spectral analysis with application to ranked data. *Ann. Statist.*, 17(3):949–979, 1989.

[11] J. A. Green. On the structure of semigroups. *Ann. of Math.*, 54:163–172, 1951.

[12] M. V. Lawson. *Inverse Semigroups: The Theory of Partial Symmetries.* World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.

[13] M. Malandro and D. Rockmore. Fast Fourier transforms for the rook monoid. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(2):1009–1045, 2010.

[14] M. E. Malandro. Fast Fourier transforms for finite inverse semigroups. *J. Algebra*, 324(2):282–312, 2010.

[15] M. E. Malandro. Inverse semigroup spectral analysis for partially ranked data. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, in press.

[16] D. K. Maslen. The efficient computation of Fourier transforms on the symmetric group. *Math. Comput.*, 67(223):1121–1147, 1998.

[17] D. K. Maslen and D. N. Rockmore. Adapted diameters and FFTs on groups. In *Proc. 6th ACM-SIAM SODA*, pages 253–262, 1995.

[18] W. D. Munn. On semigroup algebras. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 51:1–15, 1955.

[19] W. D. Munn. The characters of the symmetric inverse semigroup. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 53:13–18, 1957.

[20] W. D. Munn. Matrix representations of semigroups. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 53:5–12, 1957.

[21] D. N. Rockmore. Efficient computation of Fourier inversion for finite groups. *J. ACM*, 41(1):31–66, 1994.

[22] D. N. Rockmore. Fast Fourier transforms for wreath products. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 2:279–292, 1995.

[23] D. N. Rockmore. Some applications of generalized FFTs. In *Proceedings of DIMACS Workshop in Groups and Computation*, pages 329–369, 1997.

[24] J. P. Serre. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, volume 42 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[25] L. Solomon. Representations of the rook monoid. *J. Algebra*, 256:309–342, 2002.

[26] R. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
[27] B. Steinberg. Möbius functions and semigroup representation theory II: Character formulas and multiplicities. *Adv. Math.*, 217:1521–1557, 2008.
[28] F. Yates. The design and analysis of factorial experiments. *Imp. Bur. Soil Sci. Tech. Comm.*, 35, 1937.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOX 2206, SAM HOUSTON STATE UNIVERSITY, HUNTSVILLE, TX 77341-2206
E-mail address: `malandro@shsu.edu`